ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEES RANKING MEMBER, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AVIATION WATER RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT



COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM SUBCOMMITTEES HEALTH CARE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, CENSUS AND THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES FEDERAL WORKFORCE, U.S., POSTAL SERVICE AND LABOR POLICY GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION, EFFICIENCY AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Congress of the United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515–1501

Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton Statement on H.R. 1363, Department of Defense and Further Additional Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011 April 6, 2011

I am back before your committee for the third time in a little more than a month to defend the District of Columbia's right to self-government—and I will be back tomorrow to do so again during your consideration of H.R. 3, the No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act, which would permanently ban the District from spending its local taxpayer-raised funds on abortions for low-income women. H.R. 1363, the Department of Defense and Further Additional Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011, prohibits only one jurisdiction, the District of Columbia, from spending its own local taxpayer-raised funds on abortions for low-income women. My amendment would remove this anti-home-rule rider. This bill, perhaps more than any other, demonstrates the majority's contempt for the American citizens who reside in the District of Columbia. District residents were not surprised the bill contains the D.C. abortion rider, since H.R. 1 contained this and other D.C. riders, but they are particularly outraged that this bill lumps them together with Guantanamo Bay detainees. The only other prohibition in the bill would prevent Guantanamo Bay detainees from being brought into the United States. I urge you to adopt my amendment to permit the District of Columbia to exercise its right to self-government.

I also have an amendment, which this committee has rejected before, to permit the District of Columbia to spend its local funds for the remainder of the fiscal year. As this committee knows, if the federal government shuts down, the District of Columbia government will shut down. You rejected my amendment on the grounds that it was moot, since you said the federal government would not shut down. Now that we are 48 hours from a shutdown, and the House has recently informed Members to prepare for a shutdown, you no longer have any excuse to not adopt my amendment. Most of our colleagues, as well as most Americans, would be astonished to discover that the local government of the nation's capital will shut down if the federal government shuts down, even though the city passed its budget last spring and a Senate committee approved it last summer. D.C. residents are not alone in relying on vital District services. Federal officials, including the president and Members of Congress, federal buildings, foreign embassies and dignitaries, and businesses rely daily on the city's services, as well. I find it ironic that the majority has spent countless hours of floor and committee time in the first few

NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING 529 14TH STREET, N.W., SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20045–1928 (202) 783–5065 (202) 783–5211 (FAX) 2136 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515–5101 (202) 225–8050 (202) 225–3002 (Fax) (202) 225–7829 (TDD) www.noriton.house.gov 2041 MARTIN L. KING AVENUE, S.E. SUITE 238 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20020-7026 (202) 678-8900 (202) 678-8844 (FAX) months of this Congress violating the District's home rule. There would be no greater violation of home rule than forcing the District government to close if the federal government closes.